



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/631,243

07/31/2003

Kimberly D. Seaman

020420

1608

26285

7590

08/31/2009

K&L GATES LLP  
535 SMITHFIELD STREET  
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222

EXAMINER

EBERSMAN, BRUCE I

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3691

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/31/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/631,243	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SEAMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRUCE I. EBERSMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3691	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRUCE I. EBERSMAN. (3) Michael Dukes (applicant attorney).

(2) Michael Lazzara (attorney for applicant). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 23 and 30-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gregov, Akifuji and official notice.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested interview after final rejection to discuss potential amendments to be submitted in a future response/amendment. Applicant attorneys discussed merits of rejection on dependent claim 23 (Gregof) and 30-32, official notice rules/rationale. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691
--	--